UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/814,140	04/01/2004	Sayling Wen	3313-1144PUS1	6547
2292 BIRCH STEW	7590 06/01/2007 ART KOLASCH & BIR	EXAMINER		
PO BOX 747		UTAMA, ROBERT J		
FALLS CHURCH, VA 22040-0747			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3714	
			,	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/01/2007	ELECTRONIC

# Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

mailroom@bskb.com

		•			
Office Action Summary		Application	on No.	Applicant(s)	
		10/814,14	0	WEN ET AL.	
		Examiner		Art Unit	
		Robert J. l		3714	
The MAI Period for Reply	LING DATE of this commun	nication appears on the	cover sheet with the	correspondence address	•
WHICHEVER II  - Extensions of time after SIX (6) MONT  - If NO period for rep  - Failure to reply with Any reply received	S LONGER, FROM THE M may be available under the provisions THS from the mailing date of this comp	MAILING DATE OF TH s of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no even munication. tatutory period will apply and will y will, by statute, cause the apply	IIS COMMUNICATION  ent, however, may a reply be to the service SIX (6) MONTHS from the service of the service o	timely filed  m the mailing date of this communical NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status					
2a)☐ This action 3)☐ Since this		2b)⊠ This action is notion for allowance except	for formal matters, p	rosecution as to the merits	; is
Disposition of Cla	ims			· ·	
4)	1-13 is/are pending in the a above claim(s) is/a is/are allowed. 1-13 is/are rejected is/are objected to are subject to restrict.	are withdrawn from con			
Application Paper	<b>s</b>				
10)⊠ The drawi Applicant Replacem	• ','	4 is/are: a) ☐ accepte ection to the drawing(s) be get the correction is required.	e held in abeyance. S ed if the drawing(s) is c		
Priority under 35 l	J.S.C. § 119				
a)	dgment is made of a claim Some * c) None of: rtified copies of the priority rtified copies of the priority pies of the certified copies plication from the Internation	documents have bee documents have bee of the priority docume onal Bureau (PCT Rule	n received. n received in Applica ents have been recei e 17.2(a)).	ation No ved in this National Stage	
· <u> </u>	erson's Patent Drawing Review (losure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)		4) Interview Summa Paper No(s)/Mail 5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:	Date	

Application/Control Number: 10/814,140 Page 2

Art Unit: 3714

### **DETAILED ACTION**

### **Drawings**

1. The drawings are objected to because FIG. 4E contain smudges that precluded the word "zhu" and the pictures on the side of the figures. Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
   The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 2. Claim 9 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Claim 8 limitation requires that the level of difficulty to be set according to the comparison result of a user's input. Claim 9 requires that the user set the level of difficulty. The examiner notes that claim 8 and 9 to be in a contradiction. As such it is unclear, in the

Application/Control Number: 10/814,140

Art Unit: 3714

claim language as its currently presented, on who/what is responsible on selecting the level of difficulty in the training system.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

4. Claim 1-4, 6-8 and 10-13 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Wasowicz US 6,755,657.

Claim 1 and 7: Wasowicz '557 provide a teaching of a typewriting system and method that provides multiple information clues which comprises: of a database that stores more than one set of original spelling datum and a plurality of associated clues (see FIG. 3 item 110); a problem generating module, which extract an original spelling datum along with associated clues from the spelling database according to a current difficulty level (see FIG. 19A item 550 and FIG 23 item 640); a display module, which display the clues according to the current difficulty level within a predetermined amount of time (see 9B item 328 and col. 5:14-20); an input receiving module which accepts an input from the learner within a predetermined time (see col. 29:45-50) and a result comparison module which compares the learner's input with the original spelling datum of the problem generating module and outputs a comparison result (see col. 29:50-55) and notifying the user of the result of the comparison (see FIG. 23 item 650 and col. 29:54-57).

Art Unit: 3714

**Claim 2 and 8:** Wasowicz '557 provide a teaching where of a level adjusting module for the adjusting the difficulty level in accordance to the comparison result (see FIG. 19A item 564,566 and 568, 570).

Claim 3 and 10: Wasowicz '557 provide a teaching where the clues include combination of graphics (see col. 21:5-15) and sound (col. 29:44-46).

Claim 4 and 11: Wasowicz '557 provides a teaching where the clues is displayed in a free-fall manner (see FIG. 9C item 326 and col. 18:55-60).

**Claim 12:** Wasowicz '557 provides a teaching where the notifying step is displaying the user's input (see FIG. 19C).

Claim 6 and 13: While Wasowicz '557 does not explicitly disclose of a display module that terminates the display of clues if the comparison clues. The examiner contends that Wasowicz'557 inherently discloses this limitation, once the user provides the system with a correct response the system would present the next set of problems which necessitates the termination of the current clue in order to accommodate the display of the next set of clues.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
  - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
  - 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
  - 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.

Application/Control Number: 10/814,140

Art Unit: 3714

4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

7. Claim 5 and 9 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wasowicz US 6,755,657 and Burtis et al US 4,089,124

Claim 5 and 9: Wasowicz fails to provide a teaching where the user selects the difficulty level. However, Burtis '124 provide a teaching where the user selects the difficulty level of the training system (see Burtis col. 3:56-63). Therefore, it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skilled in the art to include the feature of enabling the user to select the difficulty level, as taught by Burtis, because it would enable the user to start the training at a level that he/she if comfortable with and not be frustrated by starting the training with questions that are too hard or too easy.

## Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Robert J. Utama whose telephone number is (571) 272-1676. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9:00-5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert Pezutto can be reached on (571)272-6996. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/814,140

Art Unit: 3714

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

RU Man 25 2000

